

## **Felicia Blake**

---

**From:** Jo Porter  
**Sent:** 02 March 2020 12:29  
**To:** PlanningPolicy  
**Subject:** Final Local Plan - Draft - reposnse to consultation

**Follow Up Flag:** Follow up  
**Flag Status:** Flagged

Dear Planning

I strongly object to the proposed site allocation for future housing development on the site shared with East Suffolk - ISPA 4.1 and IP303.

The area considered corresponds with East Suffolk SCLP 12.24 which considers a much wider area of the countryside and joins in with the proposed

SCLP12.24. Developing an area as large as this will create an urban effect in a rural area, destroy habitats, trees, hedges and crops. It will create air pollution, drainage issues (which are already to capacity). The traffic infrastructure is not capable, Tuddenham Road is a main route from villages such as Westerfield, Tuddenham, Clopton and Grundisburgh into the town of Ipswich. Humber Doucy Lane is also used as a main route and can be stacked back some distance in heavy traffic, it will not cope with such a large amount of potential traffic. The local high school is already to capacity and the local primary school cannot take on further development already being a school of 630 primary school children. The plan suggests that this area would be an overflow for the Northern Fringe development - has there been consideration to the volume of traffic that goes along Humber Doucy Lane and Tuddenham Road. There is no safe route to cross that busy road(s). Also there is suggestion that a nursery would be built on the land that will ease the need in Witnesham. Witnesham is several miles away and this would require parents to travel in their cars to get too - meaning that there would be even more traffic.

The proposal fails to fully take adequate and comprehensive account of transport, air quality, economy and wastewater issues; specifically that the possibility that the viability of development of the 'Garden Suburb', in combination with all the other cross boundary proposals of East Coast /Waveney, may not be sustainably achieved due to the plans severe impacts on air quality, traffic and lack of sewage infrastructure.

This area attracts endangered wildlife and habitats for a variety of animals, destroying this area would be harmful and detrimental to policies set out in the existing local plan. It will destroy designated green space and also green rim space and goes against the following Suffolk Coastal District Council Core Strategy and Development Management Development Plan policies

SP1 Sustainable Development (Suffolk Coastal District Local Plan Core Strategy and Development Management Policies adopted July 2013)

SP1A Presumption in Favour of Sustainable Development (Suffolk Coastal District Local Plan Core Strategy and Development Management Policies adopted July 2013)

SP16 Sport and Play (Suffolk Coastal District Local Plan Core Strategy and Development Management Policies adopted July 2013)

SP19 Settlement Policy (Suffolk Coastal District Local Plan Core Strategy and Development Management Policies adopted July 2013)

SP29 The Countryside (Suffolk Coastal District Local Plan Core Strategy and Development Management Policies adopted July 2013)

**DM3 Housing in the Countryside (Suffolk Coastal District Local Plan Core Strategy and Development Management Policies adopted July 2013)**

**DM4 Housing in Clusters in the Countryside (Suffolk Coastal District Local Plan Core Strategy and Development Management Policies adopted July 2013)**

**DM23 Residential Amenity (Suffolk Coastal District Local Plan Core Strategy and Development Management Policies adopted July 2013)**

**SP15 Landscape and Townscape**

**DM21 - Aesthetics**

There have been many planning applications refused in this area, this site will go against SCDC own reasonings for refusing planning in the past.

It will have a huge detrimental impact to residents on Tuddenham Lane the extra noise in a peaceful rural countryside setting, traffic into the area, loss of natural light. I walk my children to/from school via the footpath through a nearby field, it is already extremely busy and troublesome to cross Humber Doucy Lane with further development of this area would be make it near on impossible to cross the already busy speeding road.

IF, this plan is agreed then there **MUST** be consideration for drainage, safety with regards to traffic overload, cycle lanes and dual school catchment areas with a reduced amount of proposed dwellings - over 600 houses is too high and this will inevitably destroy the countryside which it will border.

I trust you will take my comments on board and address the above concerns.

**J Porter**